UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,052	08/18/2003	Myong J. Lee	590130-2016	3109
	590 02/27/200 WRENCE & HAUG	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE			BECKER, DREW E	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		r	1761	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,052	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Drew E. Becker	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 De	<u>ecember 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-34 and 47-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-34 and 47-51 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,052 Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The first sentence of the specification should reference the parent application, its relationship to the present application, its filing date, and the current status of the parent.

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the hand-numbering is not completely legible. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 47-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

6. Claim 47 recites "its". It is not clear what "it" is.

7. Claim 47 recites the limitation "the predetermined amount of time". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 18, 21-26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata [Pat. No. 4,426,923] in view of Smith et al [Pat. No. 4,835,351] and Arnold et al [Pat. No. 6,011,243].

Ohata teaches a method comprising the steps of heating water in a reservoir of a steamer assembly (Figure 2, #17), maintaining the water temperature and humidity levels (column 3, line 60 to column 4, line 9), heating air in a food cabinet and maintaining that temperature (Figure 2, #9; column 3, lines 47-60), placing pre-cooked food inside the cabinet (column 2, line 60), the operator inherently controlling and sequencing food removal, maintaining temperatures of 30-80°C (column 3, line 24), maintaining a humidity of 70% (column 3, line 27), and promoting drainage (Figure 2, #8). Ohata does not recite plural compartments, and sequencing the removal of food by indicating the food which is closest to its expiry time via lights. Smith et al teach a method for storing foods by placing them in separate compartments of a food cabinet

(Figure 2, #12 & 14), maintaining an air temperature within the cabinet (Figure 19), and maintaining a water temperature within a steamer assembly (Figure 19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the plural compartments of Smith et al into the invention of Ohata since both are directed to methods of storing foods, since Ohata already taught different types of foods (column 2, line 61) which required different holding conditions, and since the plural compartments of Smith et al provided a means for holding different foods at different hold conditions. Arnold et al. teach a method for staging food in a holding cabinet by indicating the food which is closest to its expiry time via lights (abstract; column 13, lines 10-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the expiry lights of Arnold et al. into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith, since all are directed to methods of storing food, since Ohata stored foods of different types (column 2, line 61), since each food possessed a different storage life, and since the indicator lights of Arnold et al provided a convenient means for tracking food storage times and preventing food from exceeding its hold time (column 1, line 50 to column 2, line 3).

10. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, as applied above, and further in view of Baker et al [Pat. No. 4,189,631].

Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al do not recite toasted hamburger buns. Baker et al teach a method for storing toasted hamburger buns in a warm environment (column 1, lines 5-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the toasted

Application/Control Number: 10/643,052

Art Unit: 1761

hamburger buns of Baker et al into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, since all are directed to methods of storing foods, since Ohata already included cooked foods (column 2, line 61), and since toasted hamburger buns were commonly stored in warm environments as shown by Baker et al.

11. Claims 27, 29, and 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, as applied above, and further in view of Su [Pat. No. 5,901,642].

Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al do not recite drawers with carrier inserts. Su teaches a method of storing foods within drawers with carrier inserts (Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the drawers and carrier inserts of Su into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, since all are directed to methods of storing foods, since Ohata already included plural ventilated supports (Figure 2, #8 & 13), and since the drawers and carrier inserts of Su eliminated the need to reach inside the cabinet for the food.

12. Claims 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata, in view of Smith et al, Arnold et al, and Su, as applied above, and further in view of Hiller et al [Pat. No. 4,436,082].

Ohata, Su, Arnold et al, and Smith et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Su also teaches offset rows of openings (Figure 4). Ohata, Su, Arnold et al, and Smith et al do not recite openings in the form of slits. Hiller et al teach a method of storing food by placing the food on carriers with open slits (Figure 3, #54). It would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the slits of Hiller et al into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith et al, Arnold et al, and Su, since all are directed to methods of storing food, since Su already included carrier inserts with openings (Figure 4), and since slit-shaped openings were commonly used in food storage devices as shown by Hiller et al.

13. Claims 32 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, as applied above, and further in view of Kuest et al [Pat. No. 4,143,592].

Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Ohata, Arnold et al, and Smith et al do not recite a steam baffle plate. Kuest et al teach a method for storing food by use of a steam baffle plate (Figure 1, #5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the steam baffle plate of Kuest et al into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith et al and Arnold et al, since all are directed to methods of storing foods, since Ohata already included a steamer assembly and food cabinet, and since steam baffle plates were commonly used to separate steamer assemblies and food cabinets as shown by Kuest et al.

14. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohata, in view of Smith et al, Arnold et al, and Su, as applied above, and further in view of Ewald et al [Pat. No. 6,607,766].

Ohata, Su, Arnold et al, and Smith et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Ohata, Su, Arnold et al, and Smith et al do not recite an angled rear surface with leaning food. Ewald et al teach a method of storing food within a tray having an angled rear wall

Application/Control Number: 10/643,052

Art Unit: 1761

(Figures 9-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the angled wall of Ewald et al into the invention of Ohata, in view of Smith et al, Arnold et al, and Su, since all directed to methods of storing food, since Su already included a drawer (Figure 4), since leaning foods on a wall would provide a greater storage density, and since the angled rear wall of Ewald et al would have provided a convenient means for supporting leaning foods.

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ulfig et al [Pat. No. 5,590,586] teach a method of preparing fast food.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-34 and 47-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,052 Page 8

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER PRIMARY EXAMINER

2-21-07